

Leveraging Technology to Improve Construction Productivity

Research Summary 240-1

Construction Industry Institute

The AES Corporation Abbott Air Products and Chemicals Alcoa American Transmission Company Amgen Anheuser-Busch Aramco Services Company Archer Daniels Midland Company BP America, Inc. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company CITGO Petroleum Corporation Cargill, Inc. Chevron Codelco-Chile ConocoPhillips DFW International Airport The Dow Chemical Company DuPont Eastman Chemical Company ExxonMobil Corporation General Motors Corporation GlaxoSmithKline Hovensa L.L.C. Intel Corporation International Paper Kaiser Permanente Kraft Foods Eli Lilly and Company Marathon Oil Corporation NASA NOVA Chemicals Corporation Naval Facilities Engineering Command Occidental Petroleum Corporation Ontario Power Generation Petroleo Brasileiro S/A - Petrobras Praxair The Procter & Gamble Company Progress Energy Rohm and Haas Company Sasol Technology Shell Oil Company Smithsonian Institution Solutia Southern Company Sunoco Tennessee Valley Authority Tyson Foods U.S. Architect of the Capitol U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Department of Commerce/NIST/Building and Fire Research Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy U.S. Department of Health and Human Services U.S. Department of State U.S. General Services Administration U.S. Steel Vale

AMEC AZCO Adolfson & Peterson Construction Aker Solutions Alstom Power Atkins Faithful & Gould Autodesk **BIS Frucon Industrial Services** Baker Concrete Construction Barton Malow Company Bateman Engineering N.V. Bechtel Group Black & Veatch Bowen Engineering Corporation Burns & McDonnell CB&I CCC Group **CDI Engineering Solutions** CH2M HILL CSA Group Day & Zimmermann International Dresser-Rand Company Emerson Process Management Fluor Corporation Foster Wheeler USA Corporation GS Engineering & Construction Corporation Grinaker-LTA/E+PC Gross Mechanical Contractors Hargrove and Associates Hatch Hill International Hilti Corporation **JMJ** Associates lacobs KBR Kiewit Power Construction McDermott International, Inc. M. A. Mortenson Company Mustang Engineering R. J. Mycka Parsons Pathfinder LLC Pegasus Global Holdings Primavera Systems S&B Engineers and Constructors SNC-Lavalin The Shaw Group Siemens Energy Technip **URS** Corporation Victaulic Company Walbridge The Weitz Company Worldwater & Solar Technologies **WorleyParsons** Yates Construction Zachrv Zurich

Leveraging Technology to Improve Construction Productivity

Prepared by Construction Industry Institute Leveraging Technology to Improve Construction Productivity Research Team

> Research Summary 240-1 October 2008

© 2008 Construction Industry InstituteTM.

The University of Texas at Austin.

CII members may reproduce and distribute this work internally in any medium at no cost to internal recipients. CII members are permitted to revise and adapt this work for their internal use provided an informational copy is furnished to CII.

Available to non-members by purchase; however, no copies may be made or distributed and no modifications made without prior written permission from CII. Contact CII at *http://construction-institute.org/catalog.htm* to purchase copies. Volume discounts may be available.

All CII members, current students, and faculty at a college or university are eligible to purchase CII products at member prices. Faculty and students at a college or university may reproduce and distribute this work without modification for educational use.

Printed in the United States of America.

Contents

Chapter	Page
Executive Summary	V
1. Introduction	1
2. How Technology Has Influenced Previous Construction Productivity	5
3. Technology Field Trials	12
4. Technology Prediction Tool	23
5. Prioritized List of Technologies	35
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	37
Appendix	39

Executive Summary

Successful use of technology to improve construction productivity involves more than the technical characteristics of the technology. Many factors simultaneously have an impact on construction productivity, including work force characteristics and management practices as well as innovations in technology. To control for such external factors, a threepronged approach was used in this study to develop recommendations, guidelines, and procedures for effectively leveraging technology to improve construction productivity.

First, the study examined how historical changes in construction equipment, materials, and information technologies influenced improvements in construction productivity. Historical data that were analyzed demonstrated significant improvements in labor productivity. The improvements were primarily due to changes in the functional range of construction equipment, reductions in unit weight of selected construction materials, and the automation and integration of project information systems. Labor productivity improvements associated with the use of these technologies ranged from 30–45 percent.

Second, a field test of materials tracking and locating technologies was conducted to measure how using such technologies can improve productivity on prototypical CII construction projects. Radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags and a Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) system were used to track materials in lay down areas for two CII member projects, one in the U.S. and the other in Canada. The field test showed that not only did improvements in material tracking improve productivity at the construction workface and the retrieval of materials in the lay down areas, but also improved the predictability and reliability that materials would be available when needed.

Third, a four-stage predictive model that estimates the potential for a technology to have a positive impact on construction productivity was developed and validated. The model combines results from historical analyses and the field tests into a tool that can be used as a predictor of how future technologies might perform. The model was used to develop

performance scores based on a historical perspective of 28 technologies. The technologies were selected to represent cases where construction jobsite implementation was: (1) successful, (2) inconclusive, or (3) unsuccessful. Statistical analysis confirmed that average performance scores produced by the model were significantly different across these three categories. The model was then used to develop a list of promising emerging technologies.

In summary, this research project has demonstrated with significant statistical analysis, field trials, and tool development how technology can be used to leverage productivity.

Introduction

1

Advances in technology have many benefits. Among the most often cited are improved quality and productivity. The evolution within the communications industry can be seen as one example of how an industry embraces and leverages innovation on a continuing basis. Arguably, the construction industry lags in this regard and underutilizes advances in technology. The opportunity to improve construction productivity exists, however, and evidence suggests that sectors of the construction industry have experienced long-term productivity growth as a result.

Economic research has shown that technology tends to have a greater impact on labor productivity versus factor productivity measures. For example, investment in new equipment technology may improve an organization's labor productivity, but its factor productivity may actually decline if the relative increase in the cost of the equipment outweighs the relative savings in labor costs and gains in output. While evidence shows that improvements in equipment and material technology have a positive impact on construction productivity, the impact of information technology (IT) has remained largely undocumented.

IT clearly has the *potential* to improve construction productivity through improved communication, logistics, planning, and resource allocation, but exactly how it improves construction productivity is not well understood. CII efforts in the fully integrated and automated project processes (FIAPP), the FIATECH consortium efforts as well as a major study by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) indicate that much can be gained via interoperability alone.

There tends to be a required critical mass in terms of adoption before a new technology has an impact on production. Malcolm Gladwell's *The Tipping Point* posits that it takes a series of factors, people, and events to contribute to widespread adoption of an idea, trend, or technology. The adoption of electricity is an example that follows Gladwell's thinking; while electricity was first introduced in the 1880s, it was not until around